

McDermott Will & Emery

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf Houston London Los Angeles Miami Munich
New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C

Strategic alliance with MWE China Law Offices (Shanghai)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 25 2008

FACSIMILE

Date: March 25, 2008

Time Sent:

To:	Company:	Facsimile No:	Telephone No:
Lawrence J. Burrowes	United States Patent and Trademark Office Group Art Unit: 2619	571.273.8300	571.270.1419
From:	Ahsan Shaikh	Direct Phone:	949.757.6304
E-Mail:	ashaikh@mwe.com	Direct Fax:	949.851.9348
Sent By:	Ahsan Shaikh	Direct Phone:	949.757.6304
Client/Matter/Tkpr:	030159	Original to Follow by Mail:	No
		Number of Pages, Including Cover:	2

Re: **INFORMAL PAPER FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**
In re Application of Avinash Jain, et al.
Application No. 10/651,810
Filed: August 28, 2003

Message:

Please see the attached

ORC 435986-1.079916.0054

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copy of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the below address by mail. Thank you.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

Main Facsimile: 312.984.7700 Facsimile Assistance: Outgoing Faxes: 312.984.2147; Incoming Faxes: 312.984.2134

U.S. practice conducted through McDermott Will & Emery LLP.
227 West Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60606-5096 Telephone: 312.372.2000

INFORMAL PAPER FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

In re Application of Avinash Jain, et al.

Application No. 10/651,810

Filed: August 28, 2003

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAR 25 2008**

Applicants' representatives respectfully request a teleconference on March 27, 2008 to discuss the rejection of independent claims 16, 18, 22, 34, and 36 over U.S. Patent No. 6,804,219 ("Koo"), and of independent claims 17, 19, 21, 35, and 37 over Koo in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,390,165 ("Tuch").

In particular, Koo is not seen to disclose or suggest the features of independent claims 16, 18, 22, 34, and 36, particularly with respect to at least the feature of a scheduled duration being less than or equal to a scheduling period, where the scheduling period is an interval of time after which a rate assignment is made.

Moreover, Koo and Tuch are not seen to disclose or suggest the features of independent claims 17, 19, 21, 35, and 37, particularly with respect to at least the feature of transmitting a rate request if data arrives in a buffer, data in the buffer exceeds a buffer depth, and sufficient power exists to transmit at the rate requested.